

REMARKS

In a Restriction Requirement dated May 3, 2005, the Examiner has required an election between one of the following two different inventions:

Group I, Claims 1-29, drawn to a method of and a system for determining if a user's right to access an electronic content is restricted or unrestricted; and

Group II, Claims 30-35, drawn to a method of and a system for subsidizing a web site for freely providing an electronic content to users by offering for sale to the users, on behalf of the web site, the requested electronic content and for providing the content to the users, free of any advertisement, if the users have actually purchased the electronic content.


Applicant hereby elects Group I, drawn to Claims 1-29, without traverse.

In the event that there are any questions concerning this amendment or the application in general, the Examination is respectfully urged to telephone the undersigned attorney so that prosecution may be expedited.

Respectfully submitted,

BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.

Date: June 1, 2005

By: 
Kirk M. Nuzum
Registration No. 38,983

P.O. Box 1404
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404
(650) 622-2300